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ABSTRACT

Introduction: There has been an increased emergence of ESBLs serving as a cause of failure of beta lactam therapy leading to increased morbidity and mortality. A study was conducted to identify CTX-M group of ESBLs among Escherichia coli isolated from various clinical samples collected at a tertiary care hospital using multiplex polymerase chain reaction.

Aims & Objectives: Phenotypic detection and confirmation of ESBL E.coli and detection of bla CTX-M gene by Multiplex PCR.

Materials & Methods: During the period from April 2013 to May 2014, clinical isolates of E.coli were collected and Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing (AST) was done according to Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. Phenotypic screening and confirmation of ESBL production were done by Double Disc Synergy Test (DDST), Phenotypic Confirmatory Disc Diffusion Test (PCDDT) and E-test. Genotypic confirmation was done by Multiplex PCR for the presence of bla CTX-M genes.

Results: Out of the total 214 isolates, 133 showed resistance to at least one of the third generation cephalosporins. Primary phenotypic tests, DDST revealed 75 isolates and PCDDT revealed 93 isolates to be ESBL producers. 97 isolates were confirmed to be ESBL producers by E test and these 97 isolates were positive for CTX-M group 1 genes by Multiplex PCR.

Conclusion: The study demonstrated that Multiplex PCR is a rapid method for detection of bla CTX-M genes and CTX-M group 1 are the commonest among E.coli isolates in our hospital.
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INTRODUCTION:

Antibiotic resistance is increasing at alarming levels and has emerged as a major public health concern of the 21st century. β-lactams are among the commonly used classes of antibiotics. Extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) are enzymes commonly produced by many members of Enterobacteriaceae especially Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumonia. They efficiently hydrolyse oxyiminocephalosporins conferring resistance to third generation cephalosporins such as Cefotaxime, Ceftazidime and Ceftriaxone as well as monobactems such as Aztreonam and are inhibited by Clavulanic acid, Tazobactam or Sulbactam.¹ They serve as a clinical threat leading to failure of beta lactam therapy and extended hospitalisation with increased morbidity and mortality.² They are a matter of concern as most of these enzymes are plasmid coded accounting for...
rapid dissemination as well as multidrug resistance especially to quinolones, aminoglycosides and Trimetoprim-sulphamethoxazole.\\(^3\) Risk factors for infection with ESBL producing organisms are prolonged antibiotic usage, ICU stay, recent invasive procedure, pressure sores, anaemia and permanent urinary catheter.\\(^4\) Effective and rational usage of antibiotics is important for prevention of development of antibiotic resistance. ESBL producing strains remain undetected as they are difficult to detect by routine susceptibility testing methods and may show false susceptibility to antibiotics by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion methods. ESBL detection is important as knowledge about its prevalence is helpful to formulate infection control measures and to prevent their spread.

Though the prevalence of \(E.\text{coli}\) has been recognized and reported from various parts of the country, there is limited data about its genotypes. Degree of resistance against third-generation cephalosporins can be highly variable among different ESBL enzymes and phenotypic methods cannot differentiate ESBL types. Molecular methods like isoelectric focussing, PCR and DNA sequencing need to be used for detection and typing of different ESBL enzymes.

**AIMS & OBJECTIVES:**

1. Isolation and identification of \(E.\text{coli}\) from various clinical samples.
2. Determining their antibiotic susceptibility pattern.
3. Screening and confirmation of ESBL by DDST, PCDDT and E test.
4. Detection of \(\text{bla} \, \text{CTX-M}\) gene by Multiplex PCR.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

A total of 214 \(E.\text{coli}\) isolates from various clinical specimens collected during the period of April 2013 to May 2014 were screened for ESBL activity.

Routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done using Himedia discs such as Amoxyclav (20µg+10µg), Cefotaxime (30µg), Ceftazidime (30µg), Ciprofloxacin (5µg), Cotrimoxazole (25µg) and Amikacin (30µg). Those resistant to third generation cephalosporins were chosen for detection and confirmation of ESBL production.\\(^5\)

**Double Disc Synergy Test (DDST).\\(^6\)**

\(E.\text{coli}\) that showed resistance to at least one of the third generation cephalosporins were screened for ESBL production by a modified double disc synergy test (disc approximation test) in which Amoxyclav disc was placed in the centre and Cefotaxime and Ceftazidime discs were placed on either side at a distance of 20 mm centre to centre from the Amoxyclav disc. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 18-20 hrs and the pattern of zones of inhibition noted. Isolates which showed a zone of inhibition with a distinct size or shape with potentiation towards Amoxyclav disc were considered to be potential ESBL producers and subjected for confirmatory tests.

**Phenotypic Confirmatory Disc Diffusion Test (PCDDT).\\(^7\)**

Sensitivity testing was performed using third generation cephalosporin discs alone as well as in combination with clavulanic acid, a betalactamase inhibitor. A difference in zone diameters between discs with or without clavulanic acid was recorded. Isolates that showed an increase of \(\geq 5\)mm of zone diameter with the combination disc were confirmed phenotypically to be positive for ESBL production.
ESBL E-Test:

The E-test ESBL strips (AB Biodisk, Sweden) carry two gradients, Ceftazidime (0.5-32 µg/ml) on the one end and Ceftazidime plus Clavulanic acid (0.064-4 µg/ml) in a different concentration gradient on the other end, along with a fixed concentration of Clavulanic acid (4 µg/ml). A lawn culture of the test organism was made on Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) on which the E-test ESBL strip was placed on the centre of the plate. The plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 16-18 hours. The MIC was interpreted at the point of intersection of the inhibition eclipse with the E-test strip edge. The presence of ESBL was confirmed by the appearance of a phantom zone or by the deformation of the eclipse or when the Ceftazidime MIC was reduced by >3 log2 dilutions in the presence of Clavulanic acid as per the manufacturer's guidelines.

Multiplex PCR assay for detection of CTX-M genes:

A multiplex-PCR was performed to screen the presence of five phylogenetic groups of bla CTX-M genes. DNA was prepared by emulsifying 2-5 colonies in 100 µl of molecular grade water (Qiagen, Germany); 1 µl of the DNA template was added to 20 µl of the PCR reaction mixture (Invitrogen, USA). The cycling conditions were: initial DNA release and denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 52°C for 40 sec and 72°C for 50 sec, followed by a final elongation step at 72°C for 6 min. The PCR products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis with 2% agarose in TBE buffer with Ethidium bromide (5 µg/ml) and visualized by UV-transillumination. A 100bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen, USA) was used as a marker. Multiplex-PCR yielded the product with size of 415 bp which corresponded to group1 bla CTX-M genes. PCR- products were purified from the gel and sequenced on both strands by big dye chain termination method for further confirmation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group of CTX-M genes</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
<th>PCR product size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>F-AAAATCATGCGGCAATTTC</td>
<td>415 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>F-CCAGCAATCATGCGGCAATTTC</td>
<td>552 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 9</td>
<td>F-AAAAGAGATGCAAACGATGCC</td>
<td>205 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 8</td>
<td>F-CTGCGGATGCACTGCGGCAATTTC</td>
<td>666 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 25</td>
<td>F-GACGATGACATTCGGG</td>
<td>327 bp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS:

Out of a total number of 214 E.coli isolates, maximum were collected from urine (64.5%) followed by pus and sputum (11.7% each), and wound swab (8.8%) (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Distribution of E.coli among clinical samples

Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern:

Maximum resistance was observed for Cotrimoxazole (67.8%) followed by Ciprofloxacin (57.5%). The rate of resistance to Cefotaxime and Ceftazidime were in the order of 56.1% and 52.8% respectively. The minimum resistance was...
observed for Piperacillin-Tazobactem (2.8%) and Amikacin (7.9%). All the isolates were susceptible to Imipenem (100%) (Figure 2).

**Figure 2: Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of E.coli**
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**ESBL Detection:**

Out of the total number of 214 E.coli isolates, 133 (62%) showed resistance to one of the third generation cephalosporins, 75 (35%) were positive for ESBL production by double disc synergy test (DDST) while 93 (43%) were positive by phenotypic confirmatory combination disc diffusion method (PCDDT). Further testing by E test for Cefotaxime showed 97 (45%) to be positive for ESBL production (Figure 3).

**Figure 3: ESBL producers among E.coli**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DDST</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDDT</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-test</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PCR:**

Multiplex PCR was done for all 97 E-test ESBL positive isolates and all were positive for bla CTX-M group I genes.

**DISCUSSION:**

The overall prevalence of ESBL producers was found to vary greatly in different geographical areas and in different institutes. In the present study, the prevalence of ESBL-producing isolates of E.coli was found to be 45%. Previous studies from India have reported ESBL production varying from 6% to 87%. One reason for such variability may be the very low number of samples studied. Worldwide, a significant increase in ESBL producers was reported from USA, Canada, China, and Italy. A large survey of from 31 centres in 10 European countries found that the prevalence of ESBL in these organisms ranged from as low as 1.5% in Germany to as high as 39-47% in Russia, Poland, and Turkey.

Regarding the methods of ESBL detection, DDST showed 35% positivity and PCDDT showed 43% positivity. Among the two methods, PCDDT was more sensitive than DDST which is similar to the studies done by MKR Khan et al at New Delhi and Dhara Modi et al at Ahmedabad. This could be due to the co-existence of AmpC masking the presence of ESBL. Decreased sensitivity of the tests can be explained by the presence of strains which produce both ESBL & inducible AmpC enzymes. Clavulanic acid which was used in the standard tests for ESBL detection act as inducers of high level AmpC production and it led to resistance to 3rd generation cephalosporins as well 3rd generation Cephalosporins + Clavulanic acid. So even if ESBL was present, it would not be detected and resulted in false negative test. A negative ESBL confirmatory test using Clavulanate as the inhibitor may be interpreted as an indication of AmpC production.

A high degree of co-resistance to Co-trimaxazole and Norfloxacin was found in strains of ESBL E.coli in our study. Malhotra et al, Bishara et al, Kronenberg et al and Baby Padmini et al also showed similar results in their studies. This will
further limit the available oral therapeutic options. Higher incidence of resistance to these drugs might be due to *Escherichia coli* being the most common pathogen isolated and frequent usage of these drugs in the treatment of urinary tract infections. Increased association of resistance to these drugs among the ESBL producers suggest a strong possibility of plasmid mediated multidrug resistance.\(^\text{36}\)

All the isolates which are positive for CTX-M group I were however negative for CTX-M group II, III and IV. This pattern of results is similar to a study conducted at our institute by R.Indra Priyadharshini et al who also found all of their isolates to be positive for the CTX-M group I genes indicating that this is the most common type of CTX-M genes present in our hospital set up.\(^\text{27}\) Other studies conducted by Shahid et al\(^\text{28}\) and Iroha et al\(^\text{29}\) showed all of their strains also to be positive for CTX-M-1 cluster and studies by Al-Agamy et al\(^\text{30}\) from Riyadh and Peirano et al\(^\text{31}\) from from Chicago documented the high prevalence of ESBLs in *E.coli* isolates, with CTX-M-15 which also belongs to CTX-M group I as the predominant ESBL gene.

It is recommended that these samples be subjected to further testing such as plasmid profiling so as to detect the likelihood of single clone dissemination as well as to trace the common source of infection.\(^\text{32}\)

Molecular epidemiology of ESBL producing *E.coli* at this hospital will be more representative of an endemic persistence of clones of the organism with limited dissemination from patient to patient. Further studies to investigate the factors which determine the emergence and persistence of MDR ESBL producing *E.coli* would be useful.

**Limitations of the study:**

We did not test for AmpC and metallo beta lactamase (MBL) and the study was done in only one centre. Future studies should be multicentric and should include testing for AmpC and metallo beta lactamase (MBL).

**CONCLUSION:**

The prevalence of ESBL *E.coli* at our institute is 45%. It is recommended that along with conventional antibiogram, routine ESBL testing should be done. Phenotypic Confirmatory Disc Diffusion Test using the combination method proves to be very useful for the detection of ESBL producers especially in routine antibiotic susceptibility testing.

The prevalence of multidrug-resistant *E.coli* is quite high in our hospital and notably, an increasing proportion of ESBL *E.coli* show co-resistance to both Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole and Quinolones. Presently, Piperacillin - Tazobactem combination and Amikacin drugs are to be considered as effective therapeutic options for the treatment of ESBL producers and Imipenem should be considered as reserve drug for future use.

This study emphasizes the major role that CTX-M-1 plays in facilitating ESBL-mediated antimicrobial resistance in our institute and reiterates its association with multiple antibiotic resistance. Multiplex PCR is a rapid and efficient method for molecular detection of CTX-M group of enzymes. PFGE and Plasmid profiling will help in identifying the actual source of disseminated clones.

Judicious use of antibiotics and implementation of appropriate infection control measures are needed to control the spread of these strains in the hospital.
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